

# **Department of Planning and Development**

D. M. Sugimura, Director

# CITY OF SEATTLE DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

**Application Number**: 3015478 & 3016405

**Applicant Name**: David Foster for John Riser, JDR Development, LLC

**Address of Proposal**: 3431 & 3439 22<sup>nd</sup> Avenue West

## **SUMMARY OF PROPOSED ACTION**

Land Use Application to allow two, 2-unit townhouse structures (four residential units total) with attached one car garages in an environmentally critical area. Existing structure to be demolished. Environmental review includes future unit lot subdivision. (3015478)

Land Use Application to allow two, 2-unit townhouse structures (four residential units total) with attached one car garages in an environmentally critical area. Existing structure to be demolished. Environmental review includes future unit lot subdivision. (3016405)

The following approval is required:

**SEPA - Environmental Determination** pursuant to Seattle Municipal Code (SMC) Chapter 25.05.

This review contemplates a future unit lot subdivision.

SEPA DETERMINATION:	[	]	Exempt [X] DNS [ ] MDNS [ ] EIS
	[	]	DNS with conditions
	[	]	DNS involving non-exempt grading or demolition or involving another agency with jurisdiction

#### **BACKGROUND DATA**

Each 6,000 square foot site is located on 22<sup>nd</sup> Avenue W between W Bertona Street and W Ruffner Street in the Magnolia neighborhood. Zoning is Lowrise 1, Multi-family Residential (LR1). The west portion of the site slopes up toward an unopened alley on the west boundary of the site.

<u>Proposed Use:</u> The proposal is to construct four townhouse units on each site within the existing environmentally critical area. The two sites abut each other and will have a shared driveway, thus they will be reviewed together.

<u>Environmentally Critical Area:</u> The sites are mapped Environmentally Critical Area, Potential Landslide Area.

<u>Public Comment:</u> Notice of the application was published on February 13, 2014 and the comment period ended February 27, 2014. DPD received no comment letters on this proposal.

The two sites were reviewed under Streamlined Design Review and approved November 25, 2013 and January 6, 2014, respectively. No adjustments were requested.

### ANALYSIS – SEPA

The proposal is to establish eight residential units in a Lowrise 1 (LR1) residential zone on two sites with shared vehicle access, thus the application is not exempt from SEPA review. Environmental review resulting in a Threshold Determination is required pursuant to the Seattle State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), WAC 197-11, and the Seattle SEPA Ordinance (Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 25.05) because the proposed project is located in a Lowrise zone and exceeds the unit threshold.

Environmental review resulting in a Threshold Determination is required pursuant to the Seattle State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), WAC 197-11, and the Seattle SEPA Ordinance (Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 25.05).

The initial disclosure of the potential impacts from this project was made in the environmental checklist, dated October 15, 2013, submitted by the applicant. The Department of Planning and Development has analyzed and annotated the environmental checklist submitted by the project applicant; reviewed the project plans and any additional information in the file and any pertinent comments which may have been received regarding this proposed action have been considered. As indicated in the checklist, this action may result in adverse impacts to the environment. However, due to their temporary nature and limited effects, the impacts are not expected to be significant.

The SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665) clarifies the relationship between codes, policies, and environmental review. Specific policies for each element of the environment, and certain neighborhood plans and other policies explicitly referenced, may serve as the basis for exercising substantive SEPA authority. The Overview Policy states, in part, "Where City regulations have been adopted to address an environmental impact, it shall be presumed that such regulations are adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation" subject to some limitations.

Codes and development regulations applicable to this proposed project will provide sufficient mitigation for short and/or long term impacts. Applicable codes may include the Stormwater Code (SMC 22.800-808), the Grading Code (SMC 22.170), the Street Use Ordinance (SMC Title 15), the Seattle Building Code, and the Noise Control Ordinance (SMC 25.08). Puget Sound Clean Air Agency regulations require control of fugitive dust to protect air quality.

# **Short-term Impacts**

The following temporary or construction-related impacts are expected: temporary soil erosion; decreased air quality due to increased dust and other suspended air particulates during excavation, filling and transport of materials to and from the site; increased noise and vibration from construction operations and equipment; increased traffic and parking demand from construction personnel traveling to and from the work site; consumption of renewable and non-renewable resources; disruption of utilities serving the area; and conflict with normal pedestrian movement adjacent to the site. Compliance with applicable codes and ordinances will reduce or eliminate most adverse short-term impacts to the environment.

#### Earth / Soils

The ECA Ordinance and Director's Rule (DR) 18-2011 require submission of a soils report to evaluate the site conditions and provide recommendations for safe construction in landslide prone areas. Pursuant to this requirement the applicant submitted a geotechnical engineering study. The study has been reviewed and approved by DPD's geotechnical experts, who will require what is needed for the proposed work to proceed without undue risk to the property or to adjacent properties.

No additional conditioning is warranted pursuant to SEPA policies.

#### Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Construction activities including construction worker commutes, truck trips, the operation of construction equipment and machinery, and the manufacturing of the construction materials themselves result in increases in carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions which adversely impact air quality and contribute to climate change and global warming. While these impacts are adverse, they are not expected to be significant due to the relatively minor contribution of greenhouse gas emissions from the project.

No further conditioning is warranted pursuant to SEPA policies.

#### **Long-term Impacts**

Long term or use-related impacts are also anticipated as a result of this proposal, including: increased surface water runoff due to greater site coverage by impervious surfaces; increased bulk and scale on the site; increased traffic in the area and increased demand for parking; increased demand for public services and utilities; loss of plant and animal habitat; and increased light and glare. Compliance with applicable codes and ordinances will reduce or eliminate most adverse long-term impacts to the environment.

No further conditioning is warranted pursuant to SEPA policies.

## **Traffic and Parking**

The subject site is located in a Frequent Transit zone and is not required to provide parking. As proposed, the project will be providing one off-street parking space per unit or eight spaces.

Therefore, no additional conditioning or mitigation is warranted pursuant to SEPA policies.

#### Application No. 3015478 & 3016405 Page 4 of 4

#### Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Operational activities, primarily vehicular trips associated with the project and the project's energy consumption, are expected to result in increases in carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions which adversely impact air quality and contribute to climate change and global warming. While these impacts are adverse, they are not expected to be significant due to the relatively minor contribution of greenhouse gas emissions from this project.

No further conditioning or mitigation is warranted pursuant to specific environmental policies or the SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665).

# **DECISION - SEPA**

This decision was made after review by the responsible official on behalf of the lead agency of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the responsible department. This constitutes the Threshold Determination and form. The intent of this declaration is to satisfy the requirement of the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21.C), including the requirement to inform the public of agency decisions pursuant to SEPA.

- [X] Determination of Non-Significance. This proposal has been determined to not have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. An EIS is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(C).
- [ ] Determination of Significance. This proposal has or may have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. An EIS is required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(C).

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(C). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to available to the public on request.

This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in WAC 197-11-355 and early review.

## **CONDITIONS**

None required.

Signature: (signature on file) Date: June 12, 2014

Marti Stave, Senior Land Use Planner Department of Planning and Development

MMS:rgc

K:\Decisions-Signed\3015478 - 3016405.docx